Select AOC Budget Provisos
2015-2017

Number	Proviso Language	Action	Date of Action
1	$878,000 of the general fund – state appropriation for fiscal year 2016; $878,000 of the general fund – state appropriation for fiscal year 2017; and $6,784,000 of the judicial information systems account – state appropriation are provided solely for the information network hub project.	Fiscal staff will ensure funds are spent in accordance with the fiscal year split	10-23-15
2	$6,080,000 of the judicial information systems account – state appropriation for fiscal year 2016 is provided solely for continued implementation of the superior court case management system project.	Budget staff will monitor expenditures and report regarding the possible need to move funding from one year to another requiring an erratum to the 2016 supplemental.	10-23-15 Report February 1, 2016
3	$6,518,000 of the judicial information systems account – state appropriation for fiscal year 2017 is provided solely for continued implementation of the superior court case management system.	Will monitor expenditures.	10-23-15
3A	The steering committee for the superior court case management system, the office of administrator of the courts, and county clerks shall work with the case management system vendor to develop cost estimates for modifications to the superior court case management system to address security and document management concerns raised by county clerks. If the cost estimates are not provided to the fiscal committees of the legislature by January 1, 2016, the amounts provided in this subsection shall lapse.	Meeting held on October 13, 2015. All items discussed and many clarified requiring no further action. There will be further action on cost items, information has been obtained from Tyler Technologies currently under review.	10-13-15
3B	Furthermore, the amounts provided in this subsection shall lapse if the superior court case management system is not live and fully functional in Franklin, Thurston, and Yakima counties by February 1, 2016.	The early adopter acceptance documents will be used to indicate that the system is fully functional.	10-23-15 Documents have been signed
4	$3,789,000 of the judicial information systems account – state appropriation is provided solely for preparation and procurement activities related to the courts of limited jurisdiction case management system (CLJ-CMS) replacement project. The appropriations are further conditioned that the CLJ-CMS replacement project be funded entirely from judicial information system account funds in future biennia. The amounts provided in this subsection for the CLJ-CMS replacement project shall not be expended prior to January 1, 2016. In addition, if the following activities are not complete by the dates provided, no further funds appropriated in this subsection shall be expended on the CLJ-CMS replacement project	Future funding note is not relevant. January 1, 2016 start is acceptable. No further action required.	

Select AOC Budget Provisos
2015-2017

Number	Proviso Language	Action	Date of Action
4A	Beginning April 1, 2016, and each calendar quarter thereafter, quality assurance reports for the CLJ-CMS replacement project shall be provided to the office of chief information officer for review and for posting on its information technology project dashboard.	• April 1, 2016 date acceptable; • We will post reports to AOC website. • Mellanie & Ramsey will work with leg to get the proviso changed.	11-18-15 Draft language December
4B	No later than July 1, 2016, the CLJ-CMS replacement project steering committee shall provide a report to the legislature on the status of the procurement process for a CLJ-CMS replacement project, including an affirmation that the project is designed to meet the business processes and requirements of all thirty-nine counties. In addition, the report shall include a statement from each court of limited jurisdiction of its intended use of the CLJ-CMS.	• Develop report outline; assign author; develop review and approval process. • Leave affirmation piece as is. Affirmation can/will come from AOC, Steering Cmte or CUWG stating the system meets the business needs of those using it and for those not using it the INH will meet their needs. • Eliminate the intended use statement portion. Ramsey will draft a why it should be eliminated paragraph and work with Mellani to have it eliminated.	Soon Once RFP is complete. December
4C	No later than January 1, 2017, the judicial information system committee must approve the publication of a request for proposal for the CLJ-CMS replacement project.	Date is okay. No further action necessary.	10-23-15
4D	Prior to any CLJ-CMS replacement project steering committee recommendation to the judicial information system committee of a preferred vendor and prior to the selection of an apparently successful vendor, the office of chief information officer must be allowed to review vendor submittals in response to the request for proposal. To better inform its selection, the office of chief information officer must provide to the CLJ-CMS replacement project steering committee an evaluation each vendor's proposed technology solution assessing its architecture, security, vendor experience and qualifications, project risks and risk management, and whether the technology solution represents the best value.	• Schedule a meeting to discuss approach • Draft a letter to OCIO for support to remove this proviso item-Ramsey • Schedule a meeting with CIO.	10-23-15 December TBD